

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/489,473		01/21/2000	Kazuhisa Matsuda	NISS-049	5891
20374	7590	05/29/2002			
		JBOVCIK	EXAMINER		
SUITE 710 900 17TH		NW	PRATT, CHRISTOPHER C		
WASHINGTON, DC 20006				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1771	9
				DATE MAILED: 05/29/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

. .	11/21			N	1=9					
	7041	Application	No.	Applicant(s)	/ 					
		09/489,473		KAZUHISA MATS	SUDA					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit						
		Christopher (C. Pratt	1771						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address P riod for Reply										
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status										
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 M	<u> 1arch 2002</u> .								
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.									
3) Dispositi	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Disposition of Claims 4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the application.										
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.										
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are rejected.									
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.									
·	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requ	uirement.		•					
Application	on Papers									
9)[] 7	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	. .								
10)□ 7	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep									
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.										
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.										
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.										
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120										
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:										
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.										
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No										
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 										
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).										
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.										
Attachment(s)										
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5)		(PTO-413) Paper No atent Application (PT						

Application/Control Number: 09/489,473

Art Unit: 1771

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Applicant's remarks filed 3/18/02 have been entered and carefully considered.
 Applicant's arguments are not found persuasive of patentability for reasons set forth herein below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Claims 1-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Light et al (5514181), as set forth in the last action.

Applicant has not amended the claims in an attempt to overcome the prior art.

Applicant argues that it would not have been obvious to use collagen fibers to form the nonwoven layer because Light requires that said fibers be formed of synthetic materials.

Applicant further argues that such a modification would destroy the invention of light. It is the examiner's position, however, that light does not require said fibers to be synthetic and that the use of collagen fibers would have been an obvious modification based on the teachings of Light. Light describes several materials, which may form said fibers (col. 3, lines 1-11). This passage does not state that the material must be synthetic.

Moreover, it discloses regenerated cellulose, which is a semi-synthetic material. Light does teach that said fibers may be synthetic and that synthetic fibers are preferred; however, Light clearly teaches that the nonwoven layer can be made from the same

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/489,473

Art Unit: 1771

material as the film, i.e. collagen (col. 4, lines 64-66). Applicant argues that this phrase is limited to mean that only the materials of the film layer can be modified to match the nonwoven layer. However, Light provides no support for this strict interpretation of the passage. Light also teaches that collogen is preferred over synthetic materials because of it's "well known wound-healing properties (col. 2, lines 26-34)." Light also teaches that said fibers can provide "high-strength (col. 1, lines 58-60)." Said rejection is maintained from the last action.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time 4. policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

> Terrel Morkis SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700

Page 4

0

Application/Control Number: 09/489,473

Art Unit: 1771

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher Pratt whose telephone number is 703-305-6559. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 7 am to 4 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Terrel Morris can be reached on 703-308-2414. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for

regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Christopher C. Pratt

May 22, 2002